

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/467,611	12/20/1999	GEORGE J. MIAO	INTL-0324-US	2610	
75	590 07/13/2004		EXAMINER		
TIMOTHY N TROP			NGUYEN, DUNG X		
	R HU & MILES REEWAY STE 100		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
HOUSTON, T	X 77024		2631	9	
			DATE MAILED: 07/13/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)				
- 			611	MIAO ET AL.				
•	Office Action Summary	Examin	er	Art Unit				
		Dung X	• •	2631				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on t	he cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Faill Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period for reply wireply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nication. days, a reply within the sitory period will apply and II, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a reply tatutory minimum of thirty (3 will expire SIX (6) MONTH: pplication to become ABAN	be timely filed 0) days will be considered timely. 5 from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 30 April 2004.						
) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)[3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	 ✓ Claim(s) 1 - 18 and 20 - 30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. ✓ Claim(s) 16 - 25 is/are allowed. ✓ Claim(s) 1 - 10 and 26 - 29 is/are rejected. ✓ Claim(s) 11 - 15 and 30 is/are objected to. ✓ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objecti	on to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)[]	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the transfer of the countries of th							
		y the Examiner. I	tote the attached C	mice Action of form F 10-132.				
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International see the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have be ocuments have be the priority docun al Bureau (PCT Ru	een received. een received in App nents have been recule 17.2(a)).	ication No ceived in this National Stage				
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)			mary (PTO-413)				
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT)-948) (O/SR/08)	Paper No(s)/M	ail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	r No(s)/Mail Date	3.35,007	6) Other:					

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed on April 30, 2004 have been fully considered but are moot to the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oh et al. (US patent # 6,279,019 B1).

Regarding claim 1, Oh et al. discloses (figure 9, column 1, line 28 - 31, column 9, lines 35 - 55):

- First digital decimation filter (61) with N bands (column 1, line 30 and column 9, lines 35 38);
- Second digital decimation filter (64) to reject N-1 bands (column 1, line 30 and column 9, lines 35 38).

Oh et al. differs from the instant claimed invention that it does not state second digital decimation filter (64) for implementing a GSM mode.

However, one of ordinary skill in the art is able to make it in GSM mode for a designed choice.

Art Unit: 2631

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to implement Oh et al. to provide second digital decimation filter (64) for implementing a GSM mode for a designed choice.

4. Claim 1 is also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bertrand et al. (US patent # 6,487,221 B1).

Regarding claim 1, Bertrand et al. discloses (figure 4, and abstract, column 3, line 66 to column 4, line 54):

- First digital decimation filter (40₁) with N bands (abstract); and
- Second digital decimation filter (40₂) to reject N-1 bands coupled to the first digital decimation filter (40₁) (column 10, lines 7 15).

Bertrand et al. differs from the instant claimed invention that it does not state second digital decimation filter (40₂) for implementing a GSM mode.

However, one of ordinary skill in the art is able to make it in GSM mode for a designed choice.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to implement Bertrand et al. to provide second digital decimation filter (40_2) for implementing a GSM mode for a designed choice.

5. Claims 2 - Sare rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oh et al. (US patent # 6,279,019 B1), in view of Treadaway et al. (US patent # 6,480,477 B1).

Regarding claim 2, as followed by the limitations analyzed in claim 1, Oh et al. differs from the instant claimed invention that it does not state the first digital decimation filter (40₁) is a square-root-raised-cosine filter for a Wideband Code Division Multiple Access mode.

However, Treadaway et al. discloses of the using a matched filter square-root-raised-cosine (column 18, line 17).

Art Unit: 2631

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to implement Treadaway et al. into Oh et al. to provide first digital decimation filter (40₁) being the square-root-raised-cosine filter for a Wideband Code Division Multiple Access mode to improve the communication system.

Regarding claim 3, as followed by the limitations analyzed in claim 2, Oh et al. further discloses that its invention is used by the programmability of the digital signal processing software (column 1, lines 20 - 23).

Regarding claim 4, as followed by the limitations analyzed in claim 2, Treadaway et al. further inherently discloses (figure 1) a controller (102) that selectively programs a filter to provide an output to identical terminal 100 or 100' (column 6, lines 9 - 35).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine Oh et al and Treadaway et al. to further provide a controller that selectively programs the filter to provide an output for a wideband CDMA mode to improve the communication system.

Regarding claim 5, as followed by the limitations analyzed in claim 4, from the preceding analyzed information, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to provide a the first digital decimation filter coupled to a programmable controller to cause the first digital decimation filter to output N bands for a GSM mode.

Regarding claim 6, as followed by the limitations analyzed in claim 4, from the preceding analyzed information, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to provide a the first digital decimation filter and the second decimation filter provide an output for a transceiver receiving a GSM communication signal, and the first digital decimation filter provides an output when the system is receiving a wideband CDMA signal without effort.

Art Unit: 2631

Regarding claims 7 and 8, respectively, as followed by the limitations analyzed in claim 6, from the preceding analyzed information, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to provide a the first digital decimation filter is programmable to have any specific tap(s).

6. Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oh et al. (US patent # 6,279,019 B1), Treadaway et al. (US patent # 6,480,477 B1), further in view of Dent et al. (US patent 6,570,907 B1).

Regarding claims 9 and 10, as followed by the limitations analyzed in claim 1 and 8, respectively, Oh et al. and Treadaway et al. differ from the instant claimed invention that they do not show the memory that provides less than all of the coefficients from the first filter to the second filter.

However, Dent et al. the memory that provides less than all of the coefficients from the first filter to the second filter (column 11, lines 4 - 16).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine Oh et al., Treadaway et al., and Dent et al. to provide the memory that provides less than all of the coefficients from the first filter to the second filter for improving the communication system.

7. Claim 2629 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dent et al. (US patent # 6,570,907 B1).

Regarding claim 26, Dent et al. discloses (figure 2):

First filtering stage (phase 1), from the preceding information, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to build the first filtering stage depending on whether the wideband-CDMA as recited in column 1, lines 26 - 27;

91

Art Unit: 2631

Second filtering stage (phase 2), from the preceding information, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to build the step of selecting an output from either a wideband CDMA as recited in column 1, lines 26 - 27 or a GSM signal as recited in column 1, lines 33 - 34, is received;

- An output from a selected location (column 5, lines 60 - 64).

Finally, from the preceding information, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to use Dent et al. to build a system that satisfy the requirements of the instant claimed invention for improving the communication system.

Regarding claim 27, as followed by the limitations analyzed in claim 26, Dent et al. further discloses the storing instructions that cause a processor-based system to control a multiplexer to select the output of the first or second filtering stage as the output from the filtering stages (column 11, lines 4 - 8, column 5, lines 60 - 64).

Regarding claim 28, as followed by the limitations analyzed in claim 26, Dent et al. further discloses the storing instructions that cause a processor-based system to provide less than all of the coefficients from the first stage to the second stage when a GSM signal is received (column 11, lines 4-8).

Regarding claim 29, as followed by the limitations analyzed in claim 28, Dent et al. differs from the instant claimed invention that it does not show the step of storing instructions that caused a processor-based system to set the number of taps in the first filtering at twenty-one when a wideband CDMA signal is received and fifty-three when a GSM signal is received.

However, setting the number of taps at a specific number when different signals being received is on hand of one of ordinary skill in the art.

Art Unit: 2631

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to implement Dent et al. to show the step of storing instructions that caused a processor-based system to set the number of taps in the first filtering at twenty-one when a wideband CDMA signal is received and fifty-three when a GSM signal is received for a designed choice.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 11 15 and 30 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. Claims 16 25 are allowed. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding to the claimed invention, the prior art of record fails to show or render obvious of a dual mode filter for mobile communication substantial implementing two disparate cellular systems such as GSM and W-CDMA. The same transceiver may be utilized to selectively receive and transmit in either of the two systems. Two cascaded digital decimation filters may substitute for one narrow band digital decimation filter in conventional designs. One of the filters is a multi-band digital decimation filter with N bands and the other of the filters is also the decimation filter used to reject the N-1 bands of the system, wherein the coefficients of both of the first and second filters to perform the step of selectively being set to implement either GSM or W-CDMA.

Contact Information

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung X. Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-4892. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:30 PM.

Art-Unit: 2631

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Ghayour Mohammad H. can be reached on (703) 306-3034. The fax phone numbers for this group is (703) 872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3800.

DXN

June 26, 2004

7/8/04